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Abstract

Write a single paragraph, without indentation, that summarizes the key points of your manuscript in 150 to 250 words.

*Keywords:* Add 5 to 7 key words in capital letters, separated by commas.
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*Heading level 5.*

The body of your paper begins after the respective heading. In the case of an empirical study, you have four main sections, headlined with “Introduction”, “Method”, “Results”, and “Discussion”. Sections can be further divided into subsections with headings. For example, the introduction of an empirical study often ends with a passage that carries the subheading “Research Questions”. The Method section could maybe divided into Participants, Instruments, and Procedures subsections, the Discussion section into Main Findings, Limitations, Practical Implications, and Future Research. Note that going deeper than the third heading level is very seldom appropriate.
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Table 1

*Correlations Among and Descriptive Statistics For Key Study Variables*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | *M* (*SD*) | Sex | Age | Income | Educ. | Relig. | Dist. Intol. |
| Sex | 1.53 (.50) |  | .07 | -.09 | .02 | .14 | .06 |
| Age | 31.88 (10.29) |  |  | .08 | .19\* | .20\* | .01 |
| Income | 2.60 (1.57) |  |  |  | .04 | -.14 | -.09 |
| Educ. | 3.44 (1.06) |  |  |  |  | -.29\* | -.06 |
| Relig. | 1.21 (.30) |  |  |  |  |  | -.19\* |
| Dist. Intol. | 3.75 (1.19) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Notes. N*’s range from 107 to 109 due to occasional missing data. For sex, 0 = male, 1 = female. Educ. = education. Dist. Intol. = distress intolerance. Relig. = religiosity.

\* *p* < .05.
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Figure 1. This simple path model, adapted from results in a *Journal of Consumer Behaviour* paper, is an example of a figure. The figure appears on the last page (although in the rare case that you have an appendix, the appendix would follow the figure).